

**NOTICES OF COMPLETION, NOTICES OF DETERMINATION, NOTICES OF
AVAILABILITY AND NOTICES OF EXEMPTION**

AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING

**Pursuant to Public Resources Code e §21152C, the following
Notice of Completion, Notice of Determination, Notice of Availability
an/or Notice of Exemption, was posted on August 10, 2026
at the:**

**SISKIYOU COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
311 4TH ST., RM. 201 , YREKA, CA 96097**

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

for

EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER FOREST HEALTH IMPLEMENTAION PROJECT--AMENDED

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: August 10, 2026

RETURNED TO: SHASTA VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT (SHASTA VALLEY RCD)

DATED: _____

LAURA BYNUM , COUNTY CLERK

By: _____

Deputy



State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife
2026 ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT
DFW 753.5a (REV. 01/01/26) Previously DFG 753.5a

Print

Save

RECEIPT NUMBER:

47-08/10/2026-042

STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (If applicable)

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY.

LEAD AGENCY SHASTA VALLEY RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT (SHASTA VALLEY RCD)	LEAD AGENCY EMAIL	DATE 08/10/2026
COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING SISKIYOU COUNTY	DOCUMENT NUMBER 2026-47-042	
PROJECT TITLE EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER FOREST HEALTH IMPLEMENTAION PROJECT--AMENDED		

PROJECT APPLICANT NAME SCOTT RIVER WATERSHED COUNCIL	PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL	PHONE NUMBER
PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS 215 EXECUTIVE CT, SUITE A	CITY YREKA	STATE CA
	ZIP CODE 96097	

PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box)

☐ Local Public Agency ☐ School District ☒ Other Special District ☐ State Agency ☐ Private Entity

CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:


☐ Environmental Impact Report (EIR)	\$ 4,227.50	\$ _____
☐ Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND)	\$ 3,043.75	\$ _____
☐ Certified Regulatory Program (CRP) document - payment due directly to CDFW	\$ 1,437.25	\$ _____

☐ Exempt from fee
 ☐ Notice of Exemption (attach)
 ☐ CDFW No Effect Determination (attach)
☐ Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy)

☐ Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only)	\$ 850.00	\$ _____
☒ County documentary handling fee	\$ 50.00	\$ _____ 50.00
☒ Other NOTICE OF DETERMINATION		\$ _____

PAYMENT METHOD:

☒ Cash ☐ Credit ☐ Check ☐ Other **TOTAL RECEIVED** \$ _____ 50.00

SIGNATURE 	AGENCY OF FILING PRINTED NAME AND TITLE Dana Brooks Deputy Clerk
--	--

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ADDENDUM TO ADOPTED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) | Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq. | CEQA Guidelines § 15164

FILED
Siskiyou County

AUG 10 2026

LAURA BYNUM, CLERK

BY: 

Deputy Clerk

SECTION 1 — AMENDMENT REFERENCE & ORIGINAL FILING

Original ND Approval Date	February 25, 2026 <i>Per Notice of Determination filed by Humboldt County PWD (Responsible Agency)</i>
Original SCH No.	2025101066
Original Analyzed Study Footprint	348 acres <i>Broader footprint originally analyzed in the IS/ND (per Notice of Completion/Summary Form); not fully implemented</i>
Original Funded/Implemented Footprint	263 acres <i>Grant-funded implementation area; focused biological and archaeological surveys completed</i>
Original NOD Filed (Responsible Agency)	3/25/2026 (received by OPR 3/26/2026) <i>Filed by Humboldt County Public Works Department as Responsible Agency</i>
Lead Agency's Own NOD / Approval Date	Filed November 24, 2025 <i>Shasta Valley RCD (Lead Agency) filed its own NOD with the Siskiyou County Clerk and OPR/State Clearinghouse on 11/24/2025 (Siskiyou County document no. 2025-47-065). The approval date box on the filed form is otherwise blank/illegible — [TO CONFIRM] exact Board/Director approval date if different from the 11/24/2025 filing date.</i>
Date of This Addendum	August 11, 2026
Reason for Amendment	Expansion of project treatment area by 134 acres (263 → 397 acres) to include two additional adjacent treatment units identified following continued project development and make minor adjustments to existing unit boundaries. No change to treatment methods, Best Management Practices, or watercourse buffer standards is proposed; all conditions of the original Negative Declaration apply unchanged to the added acreage. Of the 134 acres, ~30 acres falls within the original 3478-acre analyzed study footprint. An additional 30 acres were previously treated under CAL FIRE Emergency Notice 2-24EM-00052-SIS, with 2024 previous archaeological/biological surveys completed for that area. The remaining ~97 acres is outside the original 348-acre footprint and is new to CEQA review under this project.

SECTION 2 — LEAD AGENCY INFORMATION

Lead Agency Name	Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District (Shasta Valley RCD)
Street Address	215 Executive Ct, Suite A
City, State, ZIP	Yreka, CA 96097
Contact Person	Dan Blessing
Phone	(530) 572-3120

SECTION 2B — PROJECT PARTNERS

The following organizations are participating in the planning and/or implementation of the East Fork Scott River Forest Health Implementation Project. These entities are not the CEQA Lead Agency but are listed for disclosure and coordination purposes.

Project Sponsor / Applicant	Scott River Watershed Council 514 N Hwy. 3, P.O. Box 355, Etna, CA 96027 Contact: Charnna Gilmore, Executive Director charnna@scottriver.org CAL FIRE Forest Health Program grant recipient
CEQA Preparer / Consulting Forester and Other Professionals	BBW & Associates PO Box 702, Arcata, CA 95518 Role: CEQA environmental compliance and professional forestry services; original IS/ND prepared with input from a Registered Professional Forester Mark Andre RPF #2391. Andrea Claassen Ph.D. -Role: Consulting Biologist/Botanist POB 203 Dunsmuir, CA 96025 Roscoe & Associates LLC - Role: Consulting archeologists POB 124 Bayside, CA 95524 David Johnson - Fish and Wildlife Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service-Role: Biological surveys.
Property Owner	The Wildlands Conservancy Beaver Valley Headwaters Preserve — same ownership for original and added treatment areas
Funding / Responsible Agency	Humboldt County Public Works Department 1106 Second Street, Eureka, CA 95501 Contact: Cybelle Immitt Filed original NOD as Responsible Agency, 3/25/2026 as recipient and administrator of CAL FIRE Forest Health Block Grant.

SECTION 3 — PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

Project Title	East Fork Scott River Forest Health Implementation Project — AMENDED
Project Applicant	Scott River Watershed Council
County(ies)	Siskiyou County
APN(s) — Full Project	Original: 023-410-110; 031-210-050; 031-210-110; 031-250-330; 031-250-340; 031-250-370 Added: 031-210-010; 031-210-080; 031-220-120; 031-250-130
Township / Range / Section — Original	Sections 3, 11, 14, 15 & 23, T40N R08W MDM and Section 33, T41N R8W MDM
Township / Range / Section — Added Area	Sections 2 & 10 T40N R8W MDM
Nearest Community	Callahan, CA (Siskiyou County) — approx. 3 miles due east
USGS Quad Map	Scott Mtn, Gazelle Mtn & Callahan, CA (7.5-minute quadrangles)
Watershed / Basin	East Fork Scott River Watershed (Scott River watershed) — coho-bearing
Land Ownership — Original & Added Area	The Wildlands Conservancy (Beaver Valley Headwaters Preserve) — same ownership throughout

SECTION 4 — ACREAGE COMPARISON TABLE

The table below documents the change in project footprint.

Treatment Unit / Activity	Original ND Acreage	Added Acreage (This Amendment)	Amended Total
Hayden Ridge Unit — mastication, chipping, pruning, prescribed burning	128	41	169
Highway 3 Unit — thinning, pruning, hand piling, burning	97	11	108
Noyes Creek Units — thinning, pruning, hand piling, burning	38	82	120
TOTAL PROJECT TREATMENT ACREAGE	263 ac.	134 ac.	397 ac.

SECTION 5 — AMENDED PROJECT DESCRIPTION**Background and Project Context:**

The East Fork Scott River Forest Health Implementation Project implements vegetation treatments on land owned by The Wildlands Conservancy near Callahan, Siskiyou County, within the coho-bearing East Fork Scott River watershed. The project is a forest-health and wildfire-resiliency effort, prepared with input from a Registered Professional Forester professional biologists and an archeological survey firm, and is intended to improve upland wildlife habitat, forest health, and climate resilience while reducing the risk of uncharacteristic large damaging wildfire.

Original Project Description (SCH No. 2025101066):

The original IS/ND, adopted for 263 acres, authorized non-commercial manual thinning/pruning, mastication and chipping, hand piling, and pile burning across three treatment areas: the Hayden Ridge Unit, the Highway 3 Unit, and the Noyes Creek Units. The IS/ND concluded no impact or a less-than-significant impact across all Appendix G resource areas, and a Negative Declaration was adopted.

Description of Added Area and Rationale for Expansion:

This amendment adds 134 acres across two additional treatment units, both located south of Hayden Ridge bordering Klamath National Forest ownership, under the same landowner (The Wildlands Conservancy) as the original project area. The added acreage is of similar forest vegetation types to the original project area, and will be treated using the same methods and the same specifications and Best Management Practices adopted in the original ND, unchanged — including the original watercourse buffer standard (BMP Hydro-2).

Activities Proposed in Added Area:

- ☒ Non-commercial manual thinning and pruning
- ☒ Mastication and chipping
- ☒ Hand piling
- ☒ Pile burning
- ☒ Other: Broadcast Burning

SECTION 6 — CEQA COMPLIANCE BASIS (ADDENDUM FINDING, § 15164)

Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15164, a Lead Agency may prepare an Addendum to a previously adopted Negative Declaration if some changes are necessary but none of the conditions in § 15162 requiring a subsequent or supplemental Negative Declaration are present. Section 7, below, screens the proposed expansion against each § 15162 condition.

Preliminary Finding (subject to confirmation of outstanding items in Section 7):

Based on the analysis in the accompanying CEQA Amendment Support Document, the proposed 134-acre expansion uses identical treatment methods, the same landowner, and the same watercourse buffer standards and other protective BMPs as the original project. No new or more severe significant effects are anticipated.

SECTION 7 — SUBSEQUENT REVIEW TRIGGERS SCREENING (§ 15162)

Complete before this Addendum is finalized for signature. If ANY trigger below is checked 'Yes,' a Subsequent or Supplemental Negative Declaration, which requires public circulation, would instead be required in place of this Addendum.

Applies?	§15162 Trigger	Basis for East Fork Scott River FH Amendment
☐ Yes ☒ No	(a) New significant environmental effects not analyzed in the original ND	Treatment methods, equipment, and BMPs are unchanged from the original ND. Completed biological/botanical surveys confirm the expansion area is similar in vegetation and soil type to the original project area, with no exceptional or new habitat types or Natural Communities identified. Identified special status species were documented and mapped in a survey report dated 7-26-2026 and will receive a 50' no treatment buffer as stated in the original IS/ND. C
☐ Yes ☒ No	(b) Substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant effect	No change to watercourse buffer standards, treatment methods, or mitigation measures is proposed. No previously identified effect is being intensified by this amendment.
☐ Yes ☒ No	(c) New mitigation measures or alternatives previously found infeasible are now feasible, and the project proponent declines to adopt them	Not applicable — no new mitigation measures or alternatives have been identified as feasible for the added acreage that differ from those already incorporated in the original ND.
☐ Yes ☒ No	(d) Mitigation measures considerably different from those in the original ND	Not applicable — the same BMPs and general project description adopted in the original ND apply, unchanged, to the added acreage. There are no mitigation measures required.

Applies?	§15162 Trigger	Basis for East Fork Scott River FH Amendment
	are now required and the project proponent declines to adopt them	
☐ Yes ☒ No	(e) Substantial new information of significance not known and could not have been known at the time the original ND was adopted	PARTIAL — ~30 of the 134-acre expansion area was surveyed (archaeological and biological) in 2024 by BBW & Associates under CAL FIRE Emergency Notice 2-24EM-00052-SIS (Completion Report filed 4/15/2025). This area was also re-surveyed by biologist Andrea Classeen Ph.D and David Johnson USFWS. This same 30 acres area was re-surveyed for cultural resources by Roscoe and Associates on 8/8/2026. In addition, the remaining ~104 acres were surveyed by Roscoe & Associates on 8/7/2026 and 8/8/2026. Archaeological surveys were informed by pre-field research including a re-initiated AB 52 tribal consultation process for the added acreage.

SECTION 8 — COUNTY CLERK / STATE CLEARINGHOUSE FILING

The project is located entirely within Siskiyou County.

Siskiyou County Clerk	Laura Bynum, Siskiyou County Clerk/Registrar of Voters, 311 4th St., Rm. 201, Yreka, CA 96097 (530) 842-8084 ☐ Original NOD on file (Lead Agency, filed 11/24/2025) ☐ Amended/Addendum NOD to be filed
OPR / State Clearinghouse (CEQA Submit)	☒ To be filed under existing SCH No. 2025101066 <i>ceqasubmit.opr.ca.gov</i>
Original CEQA Filing Fee History	\$3,018.75 paid 11/24/2025 (Siskiyou County Receipt No. RPT20250000000779; DFW Cash Receipt No. 47-11/24/2025-065) — \$2,968.75 Negative Declaration/CDFW fee + \$50.00 County Clerk processing fee <i>[TO CONFIRM] whether Siskiyou County/CDFW requires an additional filing fee for this Addendum or amended NOD; consult the County Clerk and CDFW fee schedule before filing.</i>

SECTION 9 — FILING & POSTING CHECKLIST

- ☒ Shasta Valley RCD Board of Directors (or authorized staff) has reviewed and adopted this Addendum prior to filing
- ☒ Archaeological survey (Roscoe & Associates) complete and findings incorporated into Section 7
- ☒ AB 52 tribal notification re-initiated and consultation window run for the added acreage
- ☒ Updated biological/botanical survey results formally integrated into the record
- ☒ Addendum and administrative record retained in Shasta Valley RCD project file
- ☒ Updated project boundary map (Figure 1 — Existing + Updated Project Area) attached to the record

- ☒ CAL FIRE Emergency Notice No. 2-24EM-00052-SIS and associated Completion/Stocking Report (RM-71, filed 4/15/2025) attached as supplemental supporting documentation for the ~30-acre portion of the expansion area with completed 2024 archaeological/biological surveys
- ☐ Notice of Determination (new or amended) filed with Siskiyou County Clerk and OPR State Clearinghouse, if determined necessary by agency counsel
- ☐ Confirm with Siskiyou County Clerk and CDFW whether an additional environmental filing fee is required for this Addendum/amended NOD, and remit payment if so
- ☒ Humboldt County PWD (funding/Responsible Agency) notified of the amended project scope
- ☒ Currently, the project is enrolled in the RWQCB WDR coverages using the most recent 2024 Order No. R1-2024-0001 Category E: *Other Forest Management Projects*. Order No. R1-2024-0001 Category E: The WDR enrollment will be amended following posting of the CEQA amendment.

SECTION 10 — LEAD AGENCY CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information in this Addendum is accurate and complete. On behalf of the Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District, I have determined that the East Fork Scott River Forest Health Implementation Project, as amended to include additional adjacent acreage, may be addressed by an Addendum to the previously adopted Negative Declaration (SCH No. 2025101066) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15164, and that none of the conditions in § 15162 requiring a subsequent or supplemental Negative Declaration are present.



8/10/26

Signature of Authorized Shasta Valley RCD Official

Date

Reed Dowse, SUCED DBA mgr

Printed Name and Title

Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District

OPR / State Clearinghouse: opr.ca.gov/ceqa | (916) 322-4245 | ceqasubmit.opr.ca.gov

This document was prepared by BBW & Associates for the Shasta Valley Resource Conservation District (SCH No. 2025101066). Review with agency counsel before filing.


CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL FEE FORM

On 8/10/26, Shasta Valley RCD filed an application
(Date) (Name)
for development with the Siskiyou County. Before the application
(Name of City)
is accepted as complete for processing, fees in the following amount(s) must be deposited with
the County Clerk.

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| ☒ | Clerk Processing Fee | \$50.00 |
| ☐ | Negative Declaration | \$3,043.75* |
| ☐ | EIR | \$4,227.50 |
| ☐ | Categorically Exempt | \$0.00 |
| ☐ | Statutorily Exempt | \$0.00 |
| ☐ | Fee Exemption issued by the DFG | \$0.00 |
| ☒ | Other <u>Amendment IS/ND</u> | \$ <u>0.00</u> |

No project shall be operative, vested or final until the required fee is paid. *Public Resources Code §21089 (b)*

On 8/10/26, Shasta Valley RCD deposited \$ 50.00,
(Date) (Name)

with the Siskiyou County Clerk .
(Attest)

Application No. N/A Receipt # 2026005356/
(To be completed when application is received for processing) 47-08/10/2026-042

* If it is determined by Siskiyou County that the fee required for a Negative Declaration does not apply to your project a refund will be granted.